8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

19

2122

- 7. In 1994 I was elected President of the Spokane County Medical Society.
- 8. In 1992, I was elected to the WSMA Board of Trustees, where I have served ever since.
- 9. In 1999, I was elected to the Executive Committee of the WSMA, where I have served ever since.
- 10. In 2003, I was elected President of the WSMA.
- 11. As WSMA President, and previously as a WSMA board member, I have traveled across the state talking to physicians from every specialty and every clinical setting about the challenges they and their patients face.
- 12. For the past twenty years, I have treated patients at Sacred Heart Medical Center and Deaconess Medical Center in Spokane.
- 13. From 1985-2000, I supervised the inpatient Chemical Dependency unit at Deaconess Medical Center.
- 14. The clinic where I practice employs about twenty physicians, making it one of the largest clinics in Eastern Washington.
- 15. I provide medical care for adolescents and adults who suffer from acute illnesses, heart disease, lung disease, HIV, and gastrointestinal and renal problems. I also offer extensive preventive care and a limited amount of orthopedic care.
- 16. I treat approximately 300 patients per month.
- 17. I helped found the Spokane AIDS Network in 1985 and later served for four years as a board member of the organization.
- 18. For the past three years, I have treated inmates infected with HIV who are incarcerated at the Airway Heights Correction Center.

- 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
- 19. While at the prison, I also care for inmates with complicated cases of diabetes, hypertension, and so forth; occasionally I admit patients to Deaconess Hospital who need treatment for medical (non-surgical) problems.
- 20. Over half of my patients have commercial coverage.
- 21. Almost a third of my patients are Medicare recipients.
- 22. Almost 10% of my patients obtain their insurance coverage in the individual market.
- 23. Like the rest of my colleagues at the clinic, I give a 20% discount on my charges to patients who have no insurance. Patients who complete a financial statement and are at 150% or less of the federal poverty guideline have all or a portion of their bill written off.
- The geographic area I serve is Eastern Washington, Northern Idaho, and Western Montana. My patients come from Oroville, Washington; to Libby, Montana; to Lewiston, Idaho; and the Tri-Cities.
- I believe I have a deep understanding of the health care system in Eastern Washington 25. based on my twenty years of experience treating patients at one of the region's leading primary care clinics and at two of the region's leading hospitals.
- 26. I believe I have a strong understanding of the health care system in Western Washington based on my twelve years of service in leadership roles in the WSMA, the largest physician organization in the state, whose members come from all 39 counties.
- 27. For the past several years, I have served at Premera's request on its Credentialing Committee. In that capacity, I review applications to join the company's provider network and renewal applications from providers who already belong. There are other

28.

- outside physicians on the committee, as well as other physicians and staff employed by the company.

 Premera has acted in a fair and professional manner in the credentialing decisions I have observed. I do not bear any animus toward Premera or any other carrier.
- 29. Within the last few years, there has been consolidation of payers within the Spokane and Eastern Washington market. PacifiCare, QualMed, Healthlink and the Providence Health plans have all disappeared.
- 30. The departure of so many payers has hurt our clinic because no other companies have been able to fill the void.
- 31. Our clinic and other physicians in the region are now more dependent on Premera, which has become the dominant carrier in Eastern Washington.
- 32. In many parts of Eastern Washington, Premera is effectively the only non-government payer for medical services.
- 33. I have been a network provider with Premera since 1994. That year it affiliated with the Medical Services Corporation (MSC), which was the largest payer for health care services in Eastern Washington at the time. Premera subsequently acquired MSC in 1998.
- 34. Premera accounts for approximately half of all of the clinic's billings for private insurance, and approximately 25% of total clinic billings.
- 35. The next biggest carrier as a percentage of the clinic's charges is Physician Hospital Community Organization (PHCO), with about 5% of the total.

- 36. Our clinic contracts with all of the available major insurance companies and Preferred Provider Organizations (PPOs), including Aetna, CIGNA, United Health, First Choice, and One Health.
- 37. Premera refuses to negotiate our clinic's contract. Just as it does with many other medical practices I am aware of, Premera has a "take it or leave it" approach to its contract offer.

 Of course, because Premera accounts for so much of a physician's business, few physicians can afford to "leave it"; they end up reluctantly "taking it."
- 38. The dominance of Premera is demonstrated by the trend among other regional and national carriers, which have adopted a fee schedule very similar to Premera's. A fee schedule is the price list for what an insurer will pay physicians for their services.
- 39. While it is true that Premera has increased our clinic's reimbursement by an average of 8% over the past four years, these increases have not been enough to offset the higher administrative cost of dealing with Premera, much less the higher cost of operating a medical clinic.
- 40. Physicians must wage a constant battle with the Premera bureaucracy to obtain care for their patients.
- 41. While no heath insurance company is a model of clarity or compassion, Premera is among the most difficult to deal with.
- 42. It was much easier to arrange care for my patients before Premera took over MSC. MSC was far more accessible and responsive than Premera has turned out to be.
- 43. Too much of my time is diverted from patient care in order to fight the Premera bureaucracy.

- 44. For example, getting approval from Premera for a test or a procedure often takes many forms, faxes, and phone calls.
- 45. It is rarely clear who the right person is to contact at Premera.
- 46. It is rarely clear whether the request is under review or has been rejected. Often my staff or I must make multiple attempts to reach Premera before we get any response.
- 47. Premera's coverage rules are so hard to follow that their own employees don't understand them. We frequently receive one answer from a Premera representative, only to have that reply contradicted by someone else at the company.
- 48. The task of gaining approval for patient care is especially troublesome when it comes to the question of medical necessity. Too often Premera employees who lack the appropriate clinical training and expertise overrule what the physician believes is in the patient's best interest.
- 49. Occasionally I can persuade Premera's medical director to rectify the problem. It is unfortunate that such disputes arise in the first place, and that it takes such high-level intervention to get them resolved.
- 50. Many of my colleagues who perform surgeries complain about Premera's practice of bundling services, in which the company keeps the rate of reimbursement artificially low by combining the cost of supplies with the fee for the surgery. This policy fails to reflect the true cost of providing care for some of our sickest residents, at a time when the price of supplies continues to escalate.
- 51. My colleagues in oncology, gastroenterology, and many other specialties express exasperation with Premera's payment practices. They complain that Premera has its own

- peculiar interpretation of the rules about bundling and modifiers and other nuances of coding that somehow always seems to result in a reduction in the amount paid on a claim.
- 52. A major source of concern and frustration, for patients and physicians alike, is prescription drug coverage. Premera has not done a good job educating its members about what is and is not covered. Frequent rule changes make it difficult to keep current.
- 53. As a result, the burden of managing the formulary for Premera falls largely on physicians, who must explain the system to patients and must attempt to navigate the system on their patients' behalf.
- 54. Decisions about what drugs to cover seem to be driven primarily by financial motives, though Premera asserts that it puts clinical considerations first.
- 55. Premera is notorious for requesting lots and lots of information about new patients. It seems as if Premera is looking for pre-existing conditions or some other reason not to pay for the patients' care.
- 56. The overwhelming and needlessly complex rules created by Premera inevitably lead to delays and denials for care or coverage.
- 57. Once Premera denies a claim, it says there is a right to appeal. My experience in bringing appeals is limited, largely because I've found it to be futile: I cannot recall ever having a successful appeal with Premera.
- 58. Many physician practices have a significant problem with accounts receivable from health insurers, including Premera. Failure to pay claims promptly, failure to pay the proper amount for claims, and wrongful claims denials all make it increasingly difficult for physician practices across the state to keep their doors open.

- 59. In 1998, Premera withdrew from the individual market, which offers coverage to people who do not get insurance from their employer, the government, or any other source.
 These people often have the hardest time finding affordable coverage.
- 60. Premera had by far the largest share of the individual market. Its decision to withdraw precipitated the collapse of the market. Many patients struggled for a long time to find replacement coverage; many others never did.
- 61. The surge in uninsured and underinsured people resulted in excess utilization of the emergency room: either they waited until they became so sick that what could have been treated relatively simply instead grew into an emergency, or they sought care in an emergency room because they knew they would not be turned away.
- 62. Although limited access to the individual market has been restored, the number of uninsured and underinsured Washingtonians continues to climb.
- 63. Physicians have traditionally offered care to patients regardless of their ability to pay.

 Many of us still do, but more and more physicians have been forced to limit the amount of uncompensated care they provide because they simply can no longer afford to absorb the mounting costs of such care. For this reason, fewer specialists are willing to be oncall for emergency departments.
- 64. These problems are magnified in rural areas, where insurance coverage is relatively scarce and many physicians have left because there is a smaller patient base over which to spread the high cost of running a practice.

- 65. I see many rural patients in my practice. They tell me that lack of access to affordable care is one of their biggest concerns, and is one of the driving forces behind the exodus from rural areas of the state.
- 66. There is another exodus going on: physicians are leaving the state -- and leaving the profession -- at alarming rates. Washington is also finding it hard to attract physicians.
- 67. Recruitment and retention problems are related to the meager reimbursement rates paid by Premera and its competitors, whose rates rarely differ significantly. Physicians are increasingly being drawn to states where the carriers offer higher reimbursement.
- 68. Premera's recent announcement that it would discontinue insurance coverage for the poor will only exacerbate an already desperate situation: fewer payers inevitably means reduced access for patients.
- 69. As a for-profit, Premera would have even more motivation to abandon less lucrative insurance markets. Through pricing and benefit design, Premera could discourage patients from seeking preventive and primary care (through high-deductible products, for example).
- 70. As a for-profit, Premera would have even more motivation to force "productivity" standards on physicians that encourage them to spend less time with each patient.
- 71. As a for-profit, Premera would have even more motivation to raise premiums. I have seen an absolute correlation between an increase in insurance premiums and an increase in the deferral of care, the loss of insurance coverage, and the deterioration of patient outcomes.

- 72. I have spent far too long in the health care system to believe that there is only one cause for all its ills. The rise in liability insurance premiums, the decrease in government reimbursement, and the increase in government regulation are among the many culprits.
- 73. The existence of these and other major challenges makes the approval of Premera's proposed conversion even riskier: the potential for harm is too great; the ability to withstand such harm is too doubtful.
- 74. Physician practices have taken about all the setbacks they can endure. Most have already trimmed costs as much as they can. Operating margins are very tight. Any further strain will worsen access and affordability of care.
- 75. If physician practices were treated like medical symptoms, we would put them in the Intensive Care Unit. For many solo and small practitioners, it is already too late: they have succumbed to the unhealthy conditions in the marketplace. Large clinics like mine deliver excellent care, but patients and physicians suffer when care can only be provided in such settings. We need the diversity of options that comes with a healthy marketplace.
- 76. It is my belief that all of the administrative barriers to care would get even harder to overcome, and the inadequate reimbursement rates would deteriorate even further, if Premera were allowed to convert to a for-profit corporation. The obligation to act purely in the interest of profit would increase the pressure to keep claims costs down.
- 77. It is my belief that these threats to patient care and physician practice viability would intensify if Premera became a for-profit corporation, and was then sold to an out-of-state carrier.

- 78. In my experience, out-of-state carriers are large, cumbersome organizations. Part of the reason it was easier for physicians to work with MSC was that it was a smaller, more efficient company than Premera. Bigger is seldom better when it comes to health insurers.
- 79. In my experience, out-of-state carriers do not understand, or do not wish to understand, the health care needs of our local communities.
- 80. We have many urgent health care needs in Washington State: children need well-child care and treatment for acute illnesses; the elderly need acute illness treatment, too, as well as chronic disease management; the poor need more sustained care to avert a crisis for them and the health care system as a whole.
- 81. My biggest fear is that Premera's conversion, and possible subsequent sale, will harm the most vulnerable patients in our state: the sickest, the poorest, people who live in rural Washington, and people who are the most dependent on prescription drugs.
- 82. I believe that Premera's conversion would cause significant deterioration in the availability of health care coverage in Washington, and would be hazardous to the insurance-buying public. There is no benefit I can identify or imagine that would outweigh the potential harm.
- 83. For the reasons set forth in my testimony, I respectfully request that Premera's application for conversion be denied.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is
2	true and correct to the best of my belief.
3	
4	Executed on my behalf in Seattle, Washington, on this 31st day of March, 2004.
5	
6	Dr. Jeff Collins
7	Dr. Jen Comis
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	